The effect of platelet-rich plasma on the regenerative therapy of muscle derived stem cells for articular cartilage repair

Y. Mifune ††, T. Matsumoto ††, K. Takayama ††, S. Ota ††, H. Li ††, L.B. Meszaros †, A. Usas †, K. Nagamune §, B. Gharbieh ††, F.H. Fu ††, J. Huard ††∥*†∥

† Stem Cell Research Center, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, PA 15219, USA
‡ Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, PA 15213, USA
§ Human and Artificial Intelligent Systems, University of Fukui Graduate School of Engineering, Fukui, Japan
∥ Departments of Molecular Genetics and Biochemistry, and Bioengineering, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, PA 15213, USA

Article info
Article history:
Received 29 November 2011
Accepted 24 September 2012

Keywords:
Platelet-rich plasma
Muscle derived stem cells
Osteoarthritis

SUMMARY

Objective: Platelet-rich plasma (PRP) is reported to promote collagen synthesis and cell proliferation as well as enhance cartilage repair. Our previous study revealed that the intracapsular injection of muscle derived stem cells (MDSCs) expressing bone morphogenetic protein 4 (BMP-4) combined with soluble Flt-1 (sFlt1) was effective for repairing articular cartilage (AC) after osteoarthritis (OA) induction. The current study was undertaken to investigate whether PRP could further enhance the therapeutic effect of MDSC therapy for the OA treatment.

Methods: MDSCs expressing BMP-4 and sFlt1 were mixed with PRP and injected into the knees of immunodeficient rats with chemically induced OA. Histological assessments were performed 4 and 12 weeks after cell transplantation. Moreover, to elucidate the repair mechanisms, we performed in vitro assays to assess cell proliferation, adhesion, migration and mixed pellet co-culture of MDSCs and OA chondrocytes.

Results: The addition of PRP to MDSCs expressing BMP-4 and sFlt1 significantly improved AC repair histologically at week 4 compared to MDSCs expressing BMP-4 and sFlt1 alone. Higher numbers of cells producing type II collagen and lower levels of chondrocyte apoptosis were observed by MDSCs expressing BMP-4 and sFlt1 and mixed with PRP. In the in vitro experiments, the addition of PRP promoted proliferation, adhesion and migration of the MDSCs. During chondrogenic pellet culture, PRP tended to increase the number of type II collagen producing cells and in contrast to the in vivo data, it increased cell apoptosis.

Conclusions: Our findings indicate that PRP can promote the therapeutic potential of MDSCs expressing BMP-4 and sFlt1 for AC repair (4 weeks post-treatment) by promoting collagen synthesis, suppressing chondrocyte apoptosis and finally by enhancing the integration of the transplanted cells in the repair process.

© 2012 Osteoarthritis Research Society International. Published by Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Introduction

Platelet-rich plasma (PRP) is defined as an autologous concentration of platelets in a small volume of plasma which is considered to be a rich source of autologous growth factors1. Recently, there has been a great interest in the use of PRP for the treatment of orthopedics-related injuries2. PRP contains numerous growth factors and bioactive proteins in the alpha-granules of blood platelets3–6. It has been reported that PRP can promote angiogenesis, collagen synthesis and cell proliferation, and is capable of positively influencing the healing of tendon, ligament, skeletal muscle, and bone7,8. In regard to articular cartilage (AC), it has been reported that PRP stimulates porcine chondrocyte proliferation and matrix biosynthesis9, as well as having the ability to enhance the chondrogenic differentiation capacity of mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs) in vitro10. Furthermore, some in vivo studies also showed that chondrocyte/PRP composites could enhance the regeneration of AC defects11 and that PRP, in a poly-lactic-glycolic acid scaffold, could improve osteochondral healing in a rabbit model12.
Our group has investigated the therapeutic potential of muscle derived stem cells (MDSCs) for the treatment of various diseases and conditions of the musculoskeletal system because of their ability to undergo multilineage differentiation19–19. More importantly for the current investigation, we have reported that MDSCs can undergo chondrogenesis in vivo and in vitro20,21, and that bone morphogenetic protein 4 (BMP-4)-transduced MDSCs could improve AC regeneration after injury22. Moreover, we have shown that soluble Flt-1 (sFlt1; a vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) antagonist)/BMP-4 transduced MDSCs could enhance cartilage regeneration in rats with induced osteoarthritis (OA) when transplanted intra-articularly by blocking the intrinsic VEGF catabolic pathway and extrinsic VEGF-induced vascular invasion which prevents chondrocyte apoptosis23. These results taken together showed that blocking angiogenesis, combined with BMP-4 expressing MDSCs was effective for OA repair. Despite the fact that PRP contains many growth factors and cytokines that promote angiogenesis, PRP has been reported to have a positive effect on cartilage repair24,25. In the current study, we performed a set of experiments in a rat model of OA designed to investigate whether PRP could enhance the therapeutic effect that MDSCs have on OA injured AC, and also determined whether PRP could further increase the beneficial effects that retroviral constructs expressing sFlt1 and BMP-4 have on MDSC mediated AC repair during OA.

Materials and methods

Isolation of MDSCs and PRP preparation

MDSCs were isolated from the hind-limb skeletal muscle of C57BL10J mice, (Jackson Laboratory, Bar Harbor, ME) via a modified preplate technique, and MDSCs were transduced separately with retroviral vectors encoding for green fluorescent protein (GFP). BMP-4 and GFP (B4→GFP) or sFlt1 and LacZ (sFlt1→LacZ) as previously described23,24. PRP was isolated from the whole blood of nude rats (NIH-Whn NIHNRNU-M; Taconic, NY, USA) via a double centrifugation technique as previously described24,25.

Repair of MIA-induced arthritis

The animal experiments performed in this study were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee at University of Pittsburgh. Thirty-six female nude rats (NIH-Whn NIHNRNU-M; Taconic) were used in the study. The animals were anesthetized with 3% isoflurane in O2 gas (1.5 L/min). OA-like arthritis was induced by a single intra-articular knee injection of monosodium iodoacetate (MIA) (Aldrich Chemical, Milwaukee, WI) (0.3 mg/150 mg body weight) into the bilateral knee joints of the rats. Two weeks after MIA injection, each group of rats had their knee joints treated as described in Table I. Rats were sacrificed 4 and 12 weeks after cell transplantation (n = 6 OA knees from three rats in each treatment group for each time point) as previously described23,24.

Histological evaluation of cartilage repair

Serial sagittal sections, 5 μm in thickness, were cut and stained with Safranin O–fast green. We evaluated OA repair semi-quantitatively using a grading and staging system25. In this system, there are six histological grades and four histological stages. The total score (score = grade × stage) ranging from 1 point (normal AC) to 24 points (no repair) was evaluated by three researchers blinded to the treatment groups.

Contribution of transduced MDSCs in the cartilage healing process

To detect transplanted mouse cells in the femoral condyle, immunohistochemistry was performed at week 4 with the following antibodies; rabbit anti-rat type 2 collagen (Col2) (Sigma) to detect rat and mouse chondrocytes26, rabbit anti-GFP Alexa Fluor 488 conjugated (Molecular Probe, Eugene, OR) for detection of B4→GFP–MDSCs and GFP–MDSCs, and biotin-conjugated anti–β-galactosidase (β-gal) for detection of sFlt1→LacZ–MDSCs. The numbers of double-positive and Col2-positive cells were morphometrically counted in five randomly selected soft tissue fields in the femoral condyle by using Northern Eclipse software (Empix Imaging Inc., Cheektowaga, NY). The following secondary antibodies were used for each immunostaining: Alexa Fluor 594-conjugated donkey anti-rabbit IgG (Molecular Probes) was used for Col2 staining and Alexa Fluor 488-conjugated goat anti-mouse IgG (Molecular Probes) was used for GFP and β-gal staining.

Chondrocyte apoptosis analysis

TUNEL assay was performed on the 4-week time point groups using an Apop Tag Plus Peroxidase In Situ Apoptosis Detection Kit according to the manufacturer’s instructions (CHEMICON, Temecula, CA). After the staining, the positive signals were examined by light microscopy (n = 6 knees in each group).

Cell functional assays and mixed pellet culture

To assess the effects of PRP, BMP-4 and sFlt1 on cell function of MDSCs including proliferation, adhesion and migration, each assay was performed and the detailed methods and the treatment groups in these assays are shown in Supplementary Information. Pellet cultures were also performed with the mixed-cell components. Mixed pellet cultures consisted of 1 × 106 OA chondrocytes plus: (1) 1 × 105 BMP-4 transduced MDSCs, (2) 1 × 105 sFlt1 transduced MDSCs, or (3) 1 × 105 non-transduced MDSCs, and each of the mixed-cell pellets was cultured in chondrogenic medium with or without PRP for 14 days. Pellets were assessed via Alcian blue and TUNEL staining (n = 3). The detailed methods of mixed pellet culture are outlined in the Supplementary Information.

Statistical analysis

All values were expressed as 95% confidence intervals (95% CI). Comparisons among multiple groups were made using analysis of

Table I

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Cell type</th>
<th>Cell number (cells)</th>
<th>Solution</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>M + PRP</td>
<td>MDSC</td>
<td>5.0 × 10⁶</td>
<td>PRP 30 μl + PBS 20 μl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>MDSC</td>
<td>5.0 × 10⁵</td>
<td>PBS 50 μl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRP</td>
<td>No cells</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>PRP 30 μl + PBS 20 μl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PBS</td>
<td>No cells</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>PBS 50 μl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M→B4/sFlt1 + PRP</td>
<td>sFlt1→MDSC/BMP-4→MDSC</td>
<td>2.5 × 10⁶/(2.5 × 10⁵</td>
<td>PRP 30 μl + PBS 20 μl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M→B4/sFlt1</td>
<td>sFlt1→MDSC/BMP-4→MDSC</td>
<td>2.5 × 10⁶/(2.5 × 10⁵</td>
<td>PBS 50 μl</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

We set these six treatment groups in this study.
variance (ANOVA) test followed by the Tukey’s test. Post hoc analysis was performed by Fisher’s Protected Least Significant Difference (PLSD) test. The comparisons of histological scores were analyzed using mixed effects ANOVA using the Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) (version 15.0; SPSS Inc., IL, USA). A value of \( P < 0.05 \) was considered statistically significant.

**Results**

**Platelet count**

The platelets found in the PRP used in these studies exhibited normal morphology. Platelet counts revealed that the PRP preparation technique produced highly concentrated platelet containing plasma. PRP smears showed higher concentrations of platelets than whole blood ones. The average whole blood platelet count was \( 42.0 \times 10^4 \) platelets/mL (95% CI: 34.2 \( \times \) 10^4, 50.7 \( \times \) 10^4), whereas the average PRP platelet count was \( 230.0 \times 10^4 \) platelets/mL (95% CI: 171.1 \( \times \) 10^4, 275.4 \( \times \) 10^4) which is a 5.5-fold increase in platelets.

**Effect of PRP on MDSC mediated AC repair (macroscopic and histological evaluation of the femoral condyles)**

There was no gross evidence of any side effects from the retroviral transduction such as a reduction in proliferation, changes in the cell differentiation behavior, nor in their neoplastic transformation behavior throughout the observation period. Four weeks after MDSC (M) transplantation into the OA model, macroscopic evaluation of the M + PRP group showed well-repaired articular surfaces, though osteophyte formations were detected in some portions of the experimental animal’s joints [Fig. 1(A)]; however, the M, PRP and PBS groups showed marked arthritis including synovial hypertrophy and osteophyte formation. Histologic assessment demonstrated that Safranin O-positive hyaline-like cartilage was present in the M + PRP group [Fig. 1(B)], and was less prevalent in the M group and was completely absent in both the PRP and PBS groups.

Twelve weeks after transplantation in the M + PRP group, the AC surfaces appeared to be smooth though osteophyte formation was more advanced than at the 4-week time point. On the other hand, the M, PRP, and PBS groups all showed a marked progression of arthritis. Histologic assessment also demonstrated destructive events including panus invasion, osteolysis, cytos formation within the subchondral bone area, and reduction in Safranin O-positive staining in the PRP and PBS groups. A histological grading scale was used to evaluate the quality of the repaired tissues. At 4 weeks following transplantation, the total score of the M + PRP group was significantly better than the PRP and PBS groups [\( P = 0.0470 \) for M + PRP vs PRP group; \( P = 0.0330 \) for M + PRP vs PBS group] [Note that the lower the score the better the cartilage repair] [Fig. 1(C)]. Twelve weeks following transplantation, the total score of the M + PRP group was still significantly better than the PRP and PBS groups [\( P = 0.0340 \) for M + PRP vs PRP group; \( P = 0.0060 \) for M + PRP vs PBS group] [Fig. 1(C)]. There were no significant differences between the M + PRP and M groups at 4 and 12 weeks after transplantation (week 4, \( P = 0.1860 \); week 12, \( P = 0.2520 \)).

**MDSCs contribution to the AC repair process**

Double immunohistochemical staining for Col2 and GFP or \( \beta \)-gal was performed using tissue samples obtained 4 weeks post-transplantation which would allow the determination of whether the new chondrocytes were differentiated transplanted MDSCs. All MDSCs used for M + PRP and M groups were transduced to express GFP. GFP-positive cells expressing Col2 were found in the femoral condyles in all the groups except the PRP and PBS groups [Fig. 2(A)]. Quantification of the double-positive stained cells demonstrated that there was no significant difference between the M + PRP and the M groups (\( P = 0.5160 \) [Fig. 2(B)]; however, the total number of Col2-positive cells derived from the donor and host cells was found to be significantly higher in the M + PRP group when compared to the other groups (\( P = 0.0060 \) for M + PRP vs M; \( P = 0.0030 \) for M + PRP vs PRP; \( P = 0.0020 \) for M + PRP vs PBS) [Fig. 2(C)].

**Chondrocyte apoptosis analyses**

Chondrocyte apoptosis was less abundant in the superficial/mid zone of the femoral condyles in the M + PRP group when compared with the PRP and PBS groups [Fig. 2(D)]. Quantification of the Terminal deoxynucleotidyl transferase dUTP nick end labeling (TUNEL) stained cells showed that the M + PRP group showed significantly fewer apoptotic chondrocytes compared to the PRP and PBS groups (\( P = 0.0050 \) for M + PRP vs PRP; \( P = 0.0020 \) for M + PRP vs PBS), however, there was no significant difference between the M + PRP and M groups (\( P = 0.0790 \) [Fig. 2(E)].

**Beneficial effect of PRP on BMP-4 and sFlt1 expressing MDSC therapy**

In the current study, PRP provided only a limited effect on the regenerative potential of MDSCs toward cartilage repair after OA (Figs. 1 and 2), when compared with our previous paper which used transduced MDSCs. As PRP contains angiogenic factors that can have deleterious effects on the AC repair process, and BMP-4 has been found to be important for MDSC mediated AC repair, we performed additional experiments using PRP and MDSCs that expressed both BMP-4 and sFlt1.

MDSCs (M) were retrovirally transduced with sFlt1– LacZ (sFlt1) or BMP-4– GFP (B4) and then combined at a 1:1 ratio prior to transplantation (M–sFlt1/B4) (Table I). Four weeks after MDSC transplantation, macroscopic evaluation of the groups revealed smooth joint surfaces of AC and no osteophyte formation [Fig. 3(A)]. Histologic assessment demonstrated that more Safranin O-positive hyaline-like cartilage was present in the M + sFlt1/B4 group [Fig. 3(B)], and was less prevalent in the M group and was completely absent in both the PRP and PBS groups.

Twelve weeks after transplantation in the M + sFlt1/B4 group, the AC surfaces appeared to be smooth though osteophyte formation was more advanced than at the 4-week time point. On the other hand, the M, PRP, and PBS groups all showed a marked progression of arthritis. Histologic assessment also demonstrated destructive events including panus invasion, osteolysis, cytos formation within the subchondral bone area, and reduction in Safranin O-positive staining in the PRP and PBS groups. A histological grading scale was used to evaluate the quality of the repaired tissues. At 4 weeks following transplantation, the total score of the M + sFlt1/B4 group was significantly better than the PRP and PBS groups [\( P = 0.0470 \) for M + sFlt1/B4 vs PRP group; \( P = 0.0330 \) for M + sFlt1/B4 vs PBS group] [Note that the lower the score the better the cartilage repair] [Fig. 3(C)]. Twelve weeks following transplantation, the total score of the M + sFlt1/B4 group was significantly better than the PRP and PBS groups [\( P = 0.0340 \) for M + sFlt1/B4 vs PRP group; \( P = 0.0060 \) for M + sFlt1/B4 vs PBS group] [Fig. 3(C)]. There were no significant differences between the M + sFlt1/B4 and M groups at 4 and 12 weeks after transplantation (week 4, \( P = 0.1860 \); week 12, \( P = 0.2520 \)).

**Contributions of BMP-4 and sFlt1 expressing MDSCs to the AC repair process**

Differentiated chondrocytes derived from transplused MDSCs were determined at week 4 by detecting cells double stained for Col2 and either GFP (M–B4 cells) or \( \beta \)-gal (M–sFlt1 cells). Within the knee, cells were identified that co-expressed Col2 and GFP or \( \beta \)-gal [Fig. 4(A and B)]. Quantification of the number of double-positive cells of Col2 and GFP or \( \beta \)-gal demonstrated that the M–sFlt1/B4 + PRP group showed a significantly higher number of double-
Fig. 1. Macroscopic and histologic evaluation of representative rat joints. (A) Four weeks after MDSC transplantation, macroscopic evaluation of the MDSC (M) + PRP group showed well-repaired articular surfaces, but some parts of the joints included osteophyte formation. The M, PRP and PBS groups showed marked arthritis including synovial hypertrophy and osteophyte formation. Twelve weeks after transplantation, in the M + PRP groups, the AC surfaces tended to be smooth, but osteophyte formation was more advanced than at 4 weeks (arrows). The M, PRP, and PBS groups showed marked progression of arthritis, synovial hypertrophy, and osteophyte formation (arrows). (B) Histologic assessment at week 4 and 12 demonstrated that Safranin O-positive hyaline-like cartilage (red staining) was present in the M + PRP group, but less prominent in the M group. Safranin O-positive hyaline-like cartilage was completely absent in both the PRP and PBS groups. Original magnification 100×. (C) Semiquantitative histologic scores for all groups, 4 and 12 weeks following transplantation. The total score of the M + PRP group was significantly lower than the PRP and the PBS groups at week 4 and 12 (n = 6 knees in each group).
positive cells than the M–sFlt1/B4 group (\(P = 0.0200\)) [Fig. 4(C)], and the number of GFP/β-gal positive cells was significantly greater in the M–sFlt1/B4 + PRP group than the M–sFlt1/B4 group (\(P = 0.0220\)) [Fig. 4(D)]. The total number of Col2-positive cells was significantly higher in the M–sFlt1/B4 + PRP group compared to the M–sFlt1/B4 group (\(P = 0.0180\)) [Fig. 4(E)].
Chondrocyte apoptosis analyses in OA knees injected with BMP-4 and sFlt1 transduced MDSCs

Chondrocyte apoptosis was less abundant in the M–sFlt1/B4 + PRP group [Fig. 4(F)]. Quantification of the TUNEL stained cells showed that there were significantly fewer apoptotic chondrocytes in the M–sFlt1/B4 + PRP group than in the M–sFlt1/B4 group (P = 0.0120) [Fig. 4(G)].

Mixed pellet culture experiments

To assess the reciprocal effects between MDSCs and OA chondrocytes (OA-C) with/without PRP, we performed mixed-cell pellet culture experiments. As a control group, we set OA-C group which included OA chondrocytes alone, not mixed with MDSCs. All cell groups tested formed pellets. The pellets in the M–B4 + PRP, M–B4 and M–sFlt1 + PRP groups were significantly larger than the M–sFlt1, M + PRP, M and OA-C groups (P = 0.0026 for M–B4 + PRP vs M + PRP; P < 0.001 for M–B4 + PRP vs M–sFlt1, M and OA-C; P = 0.0108 for M–B4 vs M + PRP; P = 0.0027 for M–B4 vs M–sFlt1; P < 0.001 for M–B4 vs M and OA-C; P = 0.0140 for M–sFlt1 + PRP vs M + PRP; P = 0.0036 for M–sFlt1 + PRP vs M–sFlt1; P < 0.001 for M–sFlt1 + PRP vs M and OA-C). The pellets in the M–sFlt1 group were significantly larger than the M and OA-C groups. (P = 0.0285 for M–sFlt1 vs M; P < 0.001 for M–sFlt1 vs OA-C) [Fig. 5(A)]. The pellets from every group showed hyaline cartilage-like extracellular matrix (ECM) that stained positively for Alcian blue and contained well-differentiated, round chondrocyte-like cells [Fig. 5(B)].

To analyze the effect of PRP on chondrocyte apoptosis in cultured pellets, TUNEL staining was performed using pellet samples obtained 3 weeks after cultivation. Chondrocyte apoptosis was less abundant in the M–sFlt1 + PRP and M–sFlt1 groups [Fig. 5(B)]. Quantification of the TUNEL stained cells showed that the M–sFlt1 + PRP and M–sFlt1 groups showed fewer apoptotic cells compared with the other treatment groups (P < 0.001 for M–sFlt1 + PRP vs M–B4 + PRP; P = 0.0018 for M–sFlt1 + PRP vs M–B4; P = 0.0021 for M–sFlt1 + PRP vs M + PRP; P = 0.0452 for M–sFlt1 + PRP vs M; P = 0.0110 for M–sFlt1 + PRP vs OA-C; P < 0.001 for M–sFlt1 vs M–B4 + PRP; M–B4, M + PRP and OA-C; P = 0.0170 for M–sFlt1 vs M). The number of apoptotic cells was significantly higher in the M–B4 + PRP group than the M–B4 group, as well as in the M + PRP group than the M groups (P = 0.0072 for M–B4 + PRP vs M–B4; P = 0.0448 for M + PRP vs M); however, there was no significant difference between the M–sFlt1 + PRP and M–sFlt1 groups (P = 0.3874 for M–sFlt1 + PRP vs M–sFlt1) [Fig. 5(C)].

Immunohistochemical staining for Col2 showed that collagen synthesis within the pellets was enhanced in the M–B4 + PRP and M–B4 groups [Fig. 5(B)]. Quantitative analysis revealed that there
was no significant difference between the M–B4 + PRP and M–B4 groups, as well as between the M + PRP and M groups ($P = 0.2246$ for M–B4 + PRP vs M–B4; $P = 0.1538$ for M + PRP vs M); however, the number of Col2-positive cells was significantly higher in the M–sFlt1 + PRP group than the M–sFlt1 group ($P = 0.0315$ for M–sFlt1 + PRP vs M–sFlt1) [Fig. 5(D)].

**Discussion**

In the present study, we initially determined whether PRP can improve the beneficial effect imparted by MDSCs for AC repair after OA induction by comparing the regenerative potential of MDSCs + PRP treatment with the MDSCs alone. Although
Fig. 5. Mixed pellet co-culture. (A) The pellets in the M–B4 + PRP, M–B4 and M–sFlt1 + PRP groups were significantly larger than the M–sFlt1, M + PRP, M and OA-C groups. The pellets in the M–sFlt1 group were significantly larger than the M and OA-C groups (n = 3 in each group). (B) Morphologically pellets were formed in all groups, and the pellets from every group showed hyaline cartilage-like ECM that stained positively for Alcian blue. Cell apoptosis assessed by TUNEL staining was less abundant in the M–sFlt1 + PRP and M–sFlt1 groups. Immunohistochemical staining for Col2 showed that collagen synthesis within the pellets was enhanced in the M–B4 + PRP and M–B4 groups. Bars = 50μm. (C)
a significant increase in the number of Col2-positive cells was observed in the M + PRP group when compared to the M alone group, no significant difference was noted between these two groups in the histological assessment of AC repair and cell apoptosis analysis (Figs. 1 and 2). These results suggest that PRP provided only a limited effect on the regenerative potential of MDSCs in cartilage repair after OA induction. Since PRP contains angiogenic factors that can have deleterious effects on the AC repair process, and BMP-4 has been found important for MDSC mediated AC repair, we performed additional experiments where sFlt1 (an angiogenic antagonist) and BMP-4 were added to the PRP and MDSCs. Our results indicate that the combination of PRP and BMP-4/sFlt1 expressing MDSCs results in a significantly better beneficial effect on AC repair when compared to all the other groups tested; however, the beneficial effect that PRP has on MDSCs expressing BMP-4 and sFlt1 appears to be transient since the histological assessment of AC repair was found to be not significantly different than the BMP-4 and sFlt1 expressing MDSCs at 12 weeks post-transplantation. From these results, we concluded that PRP could work more effectively for MDSC mediated cartilage repair when combined with BMP-4/sFlt1, and that the influence of PRP may not have lasted over the 12-week period of the experiment since the PRP was injected only once into knee capsule in this study.

Our study also revealed a lack of beneficial effects exhibited by the PRP group on AC repair at both 4 and 12 weeks after injection which is in contrast to prior manuscripts that reported PRP's effectiveness for cartilage repair[12,26]; however, in these studies the investigators administered PRP multiple times or via biodegradable scaffolds. Therefore, we believe that this disparity is related to the frequency of PRP administration and the use of a scaffold. Hence, continuous PRP administration should be considered an important influential factor for future clinical applications of PRP for AC repair.

In the in vitro apoptosis assay, chondrocyte apoptosis was significantly reduced in the M + PRP group when compared with the PRP and PBS groups but there was no significant difference between the M + PRP and the M groups (Fig. 2). On the other hand, the M–sFlt1/B4 + PRP group showed a significant reduction in chondrocyte apoptosis compared with the M–sFlt1/B4 group (Fig. 4). In our previous study, we reported that VEGF induced higher levels of chondrocyte apoptosis in the OA knee than all the other groups and that sFlt1-treated OA knees showed the lowest level of chondrocyte apoptosis[22,23]. It has been reported that VEGF increases the catabolic pathway in AC tissue through the stimulation of Matrix metalloproteinases (MMP) activity and the reduction of tissue inhibitors of metalloproteinases (TIMPs), which consequently leads to cartilage destruction by enhancing angiogenesis and vascular invasion[19,27,28]. Taken together, we posited that the enhancement of chondrocyte apoptosis by PRP was suppressed by sFlt1 in the M–sFlt1/B4 + PRP group and believe this could represent a major mechanism of why PRP’s effect is enhanced when used in combination with sFlt1 for AC repair.

In order to clarify the interactions that PRP, BMP-4 and sFlt1 have on MDSC mediated AC repair, we performed in vitro studies to assay for cell proliferation, adhesion and migration, as well as with a pellet culture system. The results indicated that PRP induced higher levels of MDSC proliferation, adhesion and migration (Supplementary Fig. A–C). These PRP related effects potentially enhanced the BMP-4/sFlt1 expressing MDSCs direct contribution to the cartilage repair process in our in vivo experiments. These results are in agreement with reports showing that PRP enhances cell proliferation and migration of bone marrow MSCs, adipose-derived derived cells, osteoblasts and chondrocytes[20,21,23–25]. Also, another paper reported that PRP could promote cell adhesion and migration of fibroblasts[26].

In the pellet culture assay, PRP + sFlt1 had a significant effect on the size of the pellets formed, while PRP alone had no significant effect on the pellet size (Fig. 5). Mishra et al. reported that PRP could enhance the chondrogenic differentiation of MSCs[24], and that TGF-beta and fibroblast growth factor (FGF) signaling, which are factors present within PRP, are important for chondrogenic differentiation of MSCs[25]. On the other hand, the increased pellet size observed in our experiment may not be entirely related to chondrogenic differentiation of the MDSCs but could also represent an indirect effect that PRP had on cell proliferation and ECM production; therefore, the entire effect that PRP has on the chondrogenic potential of MDSCs is still unclear and further studies are required. This pellet culture assay also demonstrated that PRP had an effect that increased the number of apoptotic cells in the cartilageocyte pellets, which in turn was reduced with the addition of sFlt1 (Fig. 5). Interestingly, this finding was inconsistent with our in vivo results that showed that PRP did not promote cell apoptosis during cartilage repair. Recently, it was reported that PRP could affect synovial fibroblasts to increase hyaluronic acid production[27] and also promote chondrocytes to maintain their phenotype and to inhibit cellular de-differentiation[28]. In our in vivo study, PRP could have some effects, not only on the transplanted MDSCs, but also on the joint tissues including synovium, fat pad, bone marrow as well as the AC, which could potentially suppress the effects of PRP on chondrocyte apoptosis. Additional experiments are required to test the effectiveness of PRP on the healing of these other intra-articular structures.

We also revealed that PRP could promote collagen synthesis (Fig. 5). Some growth factors included in PRP, such as TGF–β1, IGF-I, BMP-2 and -7, have already been reported to have an effect of promoting collagen synthesis[28,29], which supports our findings that PRP enhances collagen synthesis. This increase of collagen synthesis could represent another mechanism by which PRP enhances AC healing.

Recently, PRP has been reported to be effective for the treatment of AC. Sanchez et al. showed the effectiveness of intra-articular injections of an autologous preparation rich in growth factors for the treatment of OA in the knee, in retrospective matched cohort study[30]. Furthermore, Kon et al. reported that the use of PRP injections was safe and had the potential to reduce pain and improve knee function and quality of life in younger patients with low degrees of AC degeneration[31]; however, further studies are necessary to clarify the clinical application of PRP and to better understand its mechanism of action. For example, it has been shown that platelets could potentially be involved in the induction of arthritis[32].

Several limitations should be noted in this study. Firstly, we did not include a group that utilized MDSCs that expressed sFlt1 alone or with sFlt1 and PRP in our in vivo studies. Our previous studies have suggested that BMP–4 has a critical effect on MDSC therapy for cartilage repair; therefore we considered the addition of BMP–4 to be indispensable for this type of cell therapy to treat OA. To elucidate the mechanisms of this combined therapy, we performed in vitro studies using either BMP–4 or sFlt1 separately. The second limitation to our study was the fact that our OA model was chemically induced which is thought to be less clinically relevant than surgically induced OA models which better resemble the pathophysiology of OA; however, the reproducibility of the grade...
and stage of OA achieved using the chemically induced model in immunodeficient rats was highly consistent with previous reports of OA in other rat strains. In this study, we used bilateral knees in a rat, accordingly we cannot exclude the possibility of an influence from the opposite treatment side of the animal. The third limitation to this study was the fact that the PRP used in this study was not characterized for its composition and physical characteristics. Besides variations between donors, there have been a variety of ways to isolate PRP reported; hence the composition of the PRPs isolated will differ from one another in composition. The advantage of PRP is its ready accessibility, simple preparation and that it is an autologously-derived material; however, standardized methods for isolating PRP are required.

In conclusion, our findings indicate that PRP can promote the therapeutic effect of MDSCs expressing BMP-4 and SFlt1 for chondral repair 4 weeks after transplantation via the promotion of collagen synthesis and the suppression of chondrocyte apoptosis; however, the PRP effects are only transient since at 12 weeks the beneficial effect of the PRP is lost.
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