Platelet Rich Plasma (PRP) Matrix Grafts

PRP application techniques in musculoskeletal medicine utilize the concentrated healing components of a patient's own blood—reintroduced into a specific site—to regenerate tissue and speed the healing process.

By David Crane, MD and Peter A.M. Everts, PhD

Platelet Rich Plasma (PRP) grafting techniques are now being utilized in musculoskeletal medicine with increasing frequency and effectiveness. Soft tissue injuries treated with PRP include tendonopathy, tendinosis, acute and chronic muscle strain, muscle fibrosis, ligamentous sprains, and joint capsular laxity. PRP has also been utilized to treat intra-articular injuries. Examples include arthritis, arthrofibrosis, articular cartilage defects, meniscal injury, and chronic synovitis or joint inflammation.

Platelet Rich Plasma was first used in cardiac surgery by Ferrari et al. in 1987 as an autologous transfusion component after an open heart operation to avoid homologous blood product transfusion. It is now being utilized by musculoskeletal (MSK) providers following the effective use in multiple specialties. PRP has also been successfully used in various specialties such as maxillofacial, cosmetic, spine, orthopedic, podiatric and for general wound healing.

MSK practitioners began using PRP for tendonosis and tendinitis in the early 1990s. PRP techniques have most commonly been applied by MSK practitioners previously trained in the use of—and on the knowledge backbone of—prolotherapy. Although there is a paucity of well designed, randomized trials for its use in MSK medicine, animal studies, case reports, and anecdotal evidence suggests that this technique will continue to develop as a way to regenerate tissue that has lost its inherent homeostasis and thereby relieve associated pain and dysfunction.

Constituents and Properties of an Effective Regenerative Graft

Normal tissue homeostasis is maintained in a prescribed physiologic manner. These stages will be reviewed from a hypothetical time of injury through the healing phase to understand how to maximize PRP graft matrix preparation. Platelets contain two unique types of granules—the alpha-granules and dense granules.

Alpha-granules contain a variety of hemostatic proteins (coagulation proteins), as well as growth factors, cytokines,
chemokines (pro-inflammatory activation-inducible cytokines) and other proteins such as adhesion proteins. Of primary interest to the clinician are the three adhesion molecules and seven growth factors present in the alpha granule.

Dense granules contain factors that promote platelet aggregation (ADP, calcium, serotonin). Cell activation of platelets causes the discharge of granule contents. In other words, platelets require activation in order to begin the cascade of events that lead to collagen restoration and growth. This activation must occur at the tissue level (where the platelets aggregate and adhere to collagen at the site of grafting).

A synopsis of the various growth factors in PRP, together with their source and function, is presented in Table 1.

A PRP Matrix Graft is made in a clinical or operative setting by using one of the several available table-top machines on the market. Several authors offer reviews of available graft preparation centrifuges and their ability to concentrate growth factors. Each machine has a separate, disposable unit that concentrates platelets in a small amount of plasma. A thin layer of platelets is found immediately above the leukocytes in the buffy coat of centrifuged blood. When a concentrated platelet portion is made, the buffy coat containing elevated levels of leukocytes—along with concentrated platelets—are suspended in a small amount of plasma for subsequent grafting. The clinician hopes that the platelets are not activated and remain suspended until grafting and contact with thrombin or collagen occurs.

**Necessary Stages of Healing**

Normal platelet activation leads to three necessary stages of healing: Inflammation, Proliferation, and Remodeling. The cellular components involved in the three phases of healing are depicted in Figure 1. If any of these stages are incomplete—or if they proceed unabated—tissue homeostasis is lost and pain and loss of function may result. Most reviews on this topic focus on only the growth factors contained within the alpha granule of the platelet which is released upon platelet activation. It is important to understand, however, that if the platelets aren’t suspended with biologic levels of other constituents of plasma—such as leukocytes, cytokines, and fibrin (the matrix)—the graft is either not effective or less effective. If fibrin levels are too high, or platelet activation occurs prior to collagen binding, the graft is also inhibited. Other functions of platelet activation and the subsequent cascade of events that occur include cytokine signaling, chemokine release, and mitogenesis.

| TABLE 1. SYNOPSIS OF GROWTH FACTORS PRESENT IN PRP |
|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|
| Growth Factor                  | Source                      | Function                                         |
| Transforming Growth Factor-beta, TGF-β | Platelets, extracellular matrix of bone, cartilage matrix, activated TH2 cells and natural killer cells, macrophages/macrophages and neutrophils | Stimulates undifferentiated mesenchymal cell proliferation; regulates endothelial, fibroblastic and osteoblastic mitogenesis; regulates collagen synthesis and collagenase secretion; regulates mitogenic effects of other growth factors; stimulates endothelial chemotaxis and angiogenesis; inhibits macrophage and lymphocyte proliferation |
| Basic Fibroblast Growth Factor, bFGF | Platelets, macrophages, mesenchymal cells, chondrocytes, osteoblasts | Promotes growth and differentiation of chondrocytes and osteoblasts; mitogenic for mesenchymal cells, chondrocytes and osteoblasts |
| Platelet Derived Growth Factor, PDGFα-β | Platelets, osteoblasts, endothelial cells, macrophages, monocytes, smooth muscle cells | Mitogenic for mesenchymal cells and osteoblasts; stimulates chemotaxis and mitogenesis in fibroblast/glia/smooth muscle cells; regulates collagenase secretion and collagen synthesis; stimulates macrophage and neutrophil chemotaxis |
| Epidermal Growth Factor, EGF | Platelets, macrophages, monocytes | Stimulates endothelial chemotaxis/angiogenesis; regulates collagenase secretion; stimulates epithelial/mesenchymal mitogenesis |
| Vascular endothelial growth factor, VEGF | Platelets, endothelial cells | Increases angiogenesis and vessel permeability; stimulates mitogenesis for endothelial cells |
| Connective tissue growth factor, CTGF | Platelets through endocytosis from extracellular environment in bone marrow | Promotes angiogenesis, cartilage regeneration, fibrosis and platelet adhesion |

**FIGURE 1.** The physiology of healing of the chronic wound. From: emedicine.com. Used with permission.
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**Inflammatory Phase**

During the inflammatory phase, the functions of activated platelets include:

- Anti-microbial
- Adhesion
- Aggregation
- Clot retraction
- Pro-coagulation
- Cytokine signaling
- Chemokine release
- Growth factor release

There is now evidence to suggest that at certain concentrations, or dose response curves, platelet rich plasma grafts may be anti-inflammatory or pro-inflammatory in certain tissues. A dose response relationship exists to a currently unknown level of PRP concentration and ensuing migration and proliferation of progenitor stem cells at the tissue injury site (see Figure 2).

There is emerging evidence to suggest that PRP grafts in the four- to six-fold range (10^6 platelets) have more anti-inflammatory mediators and effects and are clinically relevant and useful for most situations. PRP grafts in the eight- to thirteen-fold range may be pro-inflammatory in nature. Further elucidation of this effect is required, however, as some studies showed beneficial effects of higher concentrations of PRP.

Hesham El-Sharkawy et al. evaluated this effect in periodontal tissue. The conclusions were that PRP is a rich source of growth factors and promoted significant changes in monocyte-mediated proinflammatory cytokine/chemokine release. LXA4 was increased in PRP, suggesting that PRP may suppress cytokine release, limit inflammation, and thereby promote tissue regeneration.

Weibrich et al. observed an advantageous effect with platelet concentrations of approximately 10^6/µL. Further, they state that higher concentrations might have a paradoxically inhibitory effect.

Following the initial inflammatory phase, which typically lasts for two to three days, fibroblasts enter the site and begin the proliferative phase. Low pH and low oxygen levels stimulate fibroblast proliferation in the injury site. Fibroblasts become the most abundant cell by the seventh day. The fibroblasts are then responsible for deposition of collagen and ground substance. This phase lasts from two to four weeks. As these are primarily the deficient cells with chronic injury (lack of normal collagen in extracellular matrix), this stage is mandatory for MSK repair.

**The Proliferative Phase**

During the proliferative phase—peaking anywhere from day 5 to 15 and which can last for weeks—fibroblasts differentiate into myofibroblasts and actin contracts to make the wound smaller. Low pH and hypoxemia also stimulates neovascularization. Neovessels begin to form at approximately day 5 to 7 and this process proceeds until the neovessels disappear near completion of the remodeling phase.

**The Remodeling Phase**

During the remodeling phase, collagen matures and strengthens. Tissue repair starts when the production and break down of collagen equalizes. This phase can last over one year. During this period, type III collagen is replaced by type I collagen, reorganization occurs, and the blood neovessels disappear.

**Cell Proliferation Triangle**

It has become apparent, then, that PRP grafts function via a triad of interactions, known as the cell proliferation triangle (see Figure 3). Each element of this triangle must be present for effective tissue repair and pain relief.

When preparing a graft for clinical use, the constituents of each of these three must be considered—i.e. is there an inherent matrix to place the graft in, or will the graft be washed away with motion, synovial fluid, or repeated graft compression or distraction? Does the patient have an adequate response for inflammation and is there an adequate quantity of platelets to concentrate for progenitor cell mitogenesis and proliferation?

**Biotensegrity—A Construct for Regeneration of Tissue**

Biotensegrity refers to a dynamic construct of compressive and tensional forces acting on, and through, multiple levels of organization to maintain or repair tissue homeostasis. Biotensegrity, then, is a repeated pattern of structural and functional architecture of all living tissue.

The probable link though all levels of biotensegrity is the vascular endothelial system with its regenerative and neuroendocrine functions as subsequently described.
Endothelial cells line the lumen of all blood vessels as a single squamous epithelial cell layer. They are derived from angioblasts and hemangioblasts. Weibel-Palade bodies are specialized secretory granules found in endothelial cells. These vesicles store preformed hormones, cytokines, and growth factors; as well as enzymes, receptors, and adhesion molecules; which can be released and/or expressed on the cell surface without de novo protein syntheses by regulated exocytosis in response to stimulation of cell activation. The authors believe there is sufficient evidence to suggest that the vascular endothelial system links all of the biotensegrity levels together as the various factors are at work up and down the scale.

**Contraindications to the Use of PRP Matrix Grafts**

Absolute Contraindications include:

- Platelet dysfunction syndrome
- Critical thrombocytopenia
- Hypofibrinogenemia
- Hemodynamic instability
- Septicemia
- Sensitivity to bovine thrombin (if using bovine thrombin with calcium to make platelet gel)

Relative Contraindications include:

- Consistent use (anti-inflammatory use) of NSAID’s within 48 hours of procedure
- Corticosteroid injection at treatment site or systemic use of corticosteroids within 2 weeks of graft procedure
- Recent fever or illness
- Rash at graft donor site or at receptor site
- Cancer — especially hematopoetic or of bone
- Active history or history of *Pseudomonas*, *Enterococcus* or *Klebsiella* infection, as PRP has been shown in one study to potentially stimulate these pathogens. There was no activity against *Pseudomonas* or *Klebsiella pneumoniae* or *Enterococcus faecalis*. There was no activity against *Klebsiella pneumoniae* or *Enterococcus faecalis*. Other risks that may occur at time of injection include injury from pain-induced syncope. Indeed, the main complaint received from patients is the injection pain of the PRP. There are also the risk of limb injury following the graft procedure since local or regional anesthesia is used at the time of procedure. The primary author had a patient who stepped from a ladder about four hours following an achilles and peroneal tendon injection, with subsequent inversion and fracture of the ankle—most likely due to proprioceptive and sensory loss from anesthesia.

As with any percutaneous needle technique, there is a slight risk of puncturing a hollow organ or infection, but this risk is not expected to be above or below that of other needle techniques employed in clinical medicine. The accepted risk of introduction of infection with percutaneous techniques has been reported as 1:50,000 injections. Since PRP is an autologous preparation, the risk of introducing foreign material and the risk of transmissible infection or allergic reaction is effectively eliminated—although the entire procedure must be carried out in sterile conditions. PRP—with its initial inflammatory phase—is also bacteriocidal, particularly against Staphylococcus aureus and Escherichia coli as shown by Bielecki et al. The temporary formation of platelet and fibrin plugs at the wound site has also been noted to prevent the entry of microorganisms. However, PRP gel seems to induce the in vitro growth of *P. aeruginosa*, suggesting that it may cause an exacerbation of infections with this organism. There was no activity against *Klebsiella pneumoniae* or *Enterococcus faecalis*.

Other considerations come into play if the procedure is not performed with completely autologous preparations. PRP gel techniques that rely upon the use of bovine thrombin, which may contain contaminants like bovine Factor Va as a platelet activation source, may result in antibodies to Factors V and VI, with potentially life threatening coagulopathies resulting. Other concerns with bovine thrombin include prion disease, although none are reported in the literature. The authors have neither seen nor heard of any infections occurring with the percutaneous use of PRP or biocellular therapeutic grafts. Regardless of the question of carcinogenesis, growth factors act on cell surface receptors only, do not enter the cell, and do not cause DNA mutation. There is no plausible mechanism by which growth factors would result in neoplastic development, and there have been no reports of this in the literature. Furthermore, Scott and Pawson showed that growth factors (PGF) activate normal, rather than abnormal, gene expression.

**Typical Treatment Regimen With PRP Consent**

- Average series of injections is two to three at four- to six-week intervals
- Different sites or areas of treatment may expand or contract with further treatment
- You must functionally retrain the kinetic chain once the tissue has undergone some degree of healing

**Risks**

- 1:50,000 chance of introducing infection with injection procedure
- Allergy to local anesthetic(s)
- Syncope with pain/blood at the time of injection
- Injury occurrence with numbness or pain following procedure. i.e. falling, ankle sprain with inversion, etc.
- Though extremely rare, pain or function may worsen
- Puncture of tissue outside of intended graft site. i.e. vascular, neural, lung, or other tissue placements
Technique for Myotendinous or Teno-Osseous Sites
- Alcohol or Betadine prep (we prefer Betadine gel when using an ultrasound probe for ‘live’ injection guidance)
- +/- Ethyl Chloride spray
- Inject PRP with approximately 1cc PRP per cm² of tissue/interface
- Important to touch bone and ‘pepper’ the area of teno-osseous junction to stimulate the greatest number of fibroblast colonies
- For myotendinous sites use ultrasound to ensure layered treatment throughout the tendon
- Sterile hand-aid applied post injection
- Kinesiotape to protect motion if needed

Technique For Intra-Osseous Sites
- Alcohol or Betadine prep (we prefer Betadine gel when using an ultrasound probe for ‘live’ injection guidance)
- +/- Ethyl Chloride spray
- Local anesthetic either mixed with the PRP graft or to sites of tenderness to ‘road test’ the area prior to using the graft. This ensures that the PRP matrix graft is placed in the proper areas.
- Aspirate degenerative joint fluid prior to PRP matrix graft placement
- Gel the PRP or utilize other stabilizing matrix for intra-articular sites. Ligaments, tendons, and inherent matrix sites do not require gel in the authors’ experience
- 8-10cc PRP matrix graft is the typical amount used for a knee or shoulder joint in our clinic
- “Treat regionally, not locally” (D. Crane, MD; e.g. treat all of the capsule that is tender along with tendinous and ligamentous sites of tenderness in addition to the intra-articular capsule)

It should be noted that Kevy and Jacobson have evaluated the mixture of common local anesthetics with PRP and find no significant platelet activation or diminution of graft growth factor functions.7

Tendonosis and the Use of PRP
Anitua showed—from in vitro studies of collagen and tendon—that autologous preparations rich in growth factors promote proliferation and induce VEGF and HGF production by human tendon cells in culture.25 Mishra performed an in vitro study which determined the effect of a platelet concentrate medium on the proliferation of human skin fibroblasts—the cells responsible for deposition of collagen. Buffered PRP was shown to augment human fibroblast proliferation when compared to control.26 Schnabel evaluated gene expression patterns, DNA, and collagen content of equine flexor digitorum tendons cultured in a media consisting of PRP and other blood products. PRP at 100% concentration stimulated the greatest number of collagen type I, collagen type III, and cartilage oligomeric protein (COMP) molecule genes without increasing expression of the pro-inflammatory matrix metalloproteinases. ELISA detected higher levels of PDGF and TGF-B in the PRP group.27 Hesham El-Sharkawy et al.10 measured platelet derived growth factor (PDGF)-AB, PDGF-BB, transforming growth factor-b1, insulin-like growth factor-I, fibroblast growth factor-basic (FGF-b), epidermal growth factor (EGF), vascular endothelial growth factor, interleukin-12 (p40/70) and, regulated on activation, normal T-cell expressed and secreted (RANTES) levels by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay. Cytokine, chemokine, and LXA4 levels, as well as monocyte chemotactic migration, were analyzed. PRP led to significantly increased levels of growth factors and significantly suppressed inflammation by promoting secretion of LXA4.

These growth factors stimulated the proliferation of fibroblasts and periodontal ligament cells, as well as extracellular matrix formation, and promoted collagen and total protein synthesis while stimulating the synthesis of hyaluronate from gingival fibroblasts. IGF-I levels in PRP in this study were not significantly different from the cyclolignan picropodophyllin (PPP), suggesting that other cell types could be responsible for the release of this growth factor.28

In Vivo Human Studies: Reviews and Case Examples
Tendon and Ligament Use of PRP
Mishra evaluated 20 patients that failed non-operative treatment for chronic epicondylar pain. These 20 patients were randomized to a single PRP injection or injection with bupivicaine. Mishra comments that the IRB would not allow a blood draw from the control patients to blind the study. All PRP patients had lower pain and greater ROM than control (bupivicaine). Eight weeks after the treatment, the platelet-rich plasma patients noted 60% improvement in their visual analag pain scores versus 16% improvement in control patients... At 6 months, the patients treated with platelet-rich plasma noted 81% improvement in their visual analog pain scores...”
bands of the most affected plantar fascia with promising results. Seven out of nine patients had complete resolution of their plantar fascial pain at one year and all the patients in the study had improvement that was noted on diagnostic ultrasound. One of the patients was considered a failure because of a subsequent steroid injection even though all pain had resolved.30

Scarpone reported on a prospective study carried out in 14 patients with shoulder pain. The patients all had rotator cuff tears with no significant AC joint thickness with impingement and no other significant symptomatic pathology such as labral tears, glenohumeral arthrosis, or gross instability. All of the patients failed non-operative treatments such as NSAIDs, physical therapy, and corticosteroid injections and all were considering surgical options. Of the 14 patients, 12 had statistically significant improvements in their pain scale and their strength and endurance at eight weeks. Of the 12 patients, six had radiographic evidence of healing of their tendinopathy on MRI at eight weeks. Of the four patients who were considering surgery because of persistent pain, only two went on to have rotator cuff surgery. No significant complications were noted.31

Ventura et al. evaluated PRP in ACL repair. A total of 20 patients with anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) injuries were treated by quadrupled hamstring tendon graft (QHTG)—with or without PRP gel growth factor (GF) application. CT highlighted a significant difference (P<0.01) between ACL density of the two groups. CT densities of the ACL and posterior cruciate ligament (PCL) were similar in the GF-treated group. In the control group, however, the intensity of the signal was heterogeneous and the new ACL was not clearly identifiable with respect to the PCL. A different density of the ACL was also noted: in the GF-treated group this density was uniform and the new ACL was more structured, while in the control group the ligament was less structured and did not completely fill the femoral and tibial tunnels. In the PRP treated group, one patient had a synovitic reaction. On CT, the new ACL was increased and hypertrophic and surrounded by a soft-tissue reaction. MRI confirmed this finding.32

Sanchez reported on a case-control study of twelve athletes with complete achilles rupture. All twelve had open achilles repair; six had PRGF. The treatment group had no wound complications and experienced earlier functional restoration: ROM (7 vs. 11 wks), jogging (11 vs. 18 wks), and training (14 vs. 21 wks). The authors of this study measured IGF-1, TGF-B1, PDGF-AB, EDF, VEGF, and HGF and noted that the number of platelets held direct correlation to the level of growth factors.33

Case Example: Chronic Tendonopathy
A 63-year-old male ironman distance triathlete presented with a history of left achilles pain longer than three months. The patient had no relief with physical therapy or ultrasound (U/S) therapy for six week duration. The patient was diagnosed by MRI with stress fracture of the fibula with no discrete cortical line or fracture in addition to an achilles ten-donopathy. Diagnostic U/S in our office showed an 8cm segment of tendon collagen change consistent with a tendonopathy with associated peritenon fibrosis (see Figure 4).
The patient undergoes three separate series of PRP at four-week intervals to the achilles tendon and fibula along with the peroneal tendon sheath at the myotendinous junction. Subsequent ultrasounds show improved fibrosis and less scarring along with collagen pattern reorganization consistent with improved vascularity and tendon structure (see Figure 5).

The patient has greater than 90% pain reduction after the three PRP matrix grafts and returns to ironman distance racing after the three months of restricted training. Supportive compression sleeves are utilized for three months to allow for load distribution until strength in the peroneal muscles and achilles is 90% of the unaffected right side.

**Muscle Strain and the Use of PRP**
Sanchez reported a 20 patient prospective muscle injury pilot study with six-month follow-up. Ultrasound guided injection of PRP within the injured muscle and tendon structure (see Figure 5).

The patient’s pain after one month is more than 80% resolved and the patient has no pain on the bike or with activity as previously noted. Resumption of training occurred one week following injection with swimming, running, and protected cycling.

**Articular Cartilage and the Intra-Articular Use of PRP**
Everts, Devilee, et al. reported that autologous platelet gel and fibrin sealant enhance the efficacy of total knee arthroplasty by improved range of motion, decreased length of stay, and a reduced incidence of arthrofibrosis. Everts’ team also investigated whether the use of autologous derived platelet gel and fibrin sealant would reduce postoperative blood loss, decrease the impaired range of motion, and reduce the incidence of arthrofibrosis. Study group patients (n=85) were treated with the application of autologous platelet gel and fibrin sealant at the end of surgery. Eighty patients were operated without the use of platelet gel and fibrin sealant and served as the control group. During a five-month postoperative period, patients were followed to observe the incidence of arthrofibrosis. In patients in the treatment group, the hemoglobin concentration in blood decreased significantly less when compared to the control group. They also showed a superior postoperative range of motion when compared to those of the control group (P<0.001). The incidence of arthrofibrosis and subsequent forced manipulation was significantly less (P<0.001) in patients managed with platelet gel and fibrin sealant.

**Case Example: Severe Hip Osteoarthritis With a History of Congenital Hip Dysplasia**
A 56-year-old female presented with increasing left hip pain greater than one year duration. The patient has a history of bilateral hip dislocations at birth (birth country Poland—no x-rays available) with evidence of shallow acetabular deformity noted on x-ray (see Figure 8).

The patient is active in dance and is of normal weight and BMI. Some relief is obtained with NSAID therapy but pain is now affecting sleep and is interfering with activities of daily living and her dance regimen. The patient undergoes one PRP injection to the left hip using an anterior approach. 8cc PRP is placed with ultrasound guidance as noted (see Figure 9).
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After 3 months, the patient reports 75% pain improvement and some improvement in ROM is also reported. The night pain has resolved and the patient’s pain is controlled with acetaminophen. She is able to resume dance and activities for fitness and health.

Bone and Periosteal Use Of PRP
Gandhi et al. observed normalized cellular proliferation and chondrogenesis with an improved mechanical strength when PRP was injected percutaneously in a diabetic experimental femur fracture model. Sanz et al. utilized PRP after reattachment of a large (2 cm) loose chondral body in its crater in the medial femoral condyle. Autologous plasma (PRP) was injected into the area between the crater and the fixed fragment. They state that complete articular cartilage healing was considerably accelerated, and the functional outcome was excellent, allowing a rapid resumption of symptom-free athletic activity.

PRP has been used successfully in maxillofacial surgery in several studies including a randomized trial of 88 patients with mandibular defects treated with cancellous cellular marrow grafts with, or without, PRP. Grafts with PRP showed twice the radiographic maturity at six months follow up.

Another case report describes a fifty-year old woman with nonunion of humerus who had undergone two unsuccessful operations. Union was obtained by the use of autologous platelet-rich gel (PRG). At the 8th week, over 75% of the circumference of the bone at the defect site had resolved and, during later visits, remodeling of the union was observed on X-ray films and DEXA examinations. Maximum healing was reached at the 18th week. Twelve months after PRG injection, the intramedullary nail that had previously been placed was removed.

Case Example: Bilateral Pars Interarticularis Stress Fractures (Spondylolysis)
A 14-year-old softball player presented with a history of developing back pain over a period of six weeks, made worse following a minor motor vehicle crash four weeks prior to visit. The patient had initial pain and localized tenderness on the right low back L4-5 area with a positive stork test. X-ray and MRI confirm spondylolysis (see Figure 10).

The patient undergoes extensive physical therapy for approximately 8 months with subsequent relief. The patient then returns to sport specific activity but develops pain. After appropriate discussion of the benefits and risks, a PRP matrix graft is placed on the right L5-S1 facet joint and the L5 pars with ultrasound guidance. On return to activity, the patient notes the absence of pain on the right pars or low back area. The patient is allowed to slowly return to activity. Two months following the initial PRP graft, the patient develops pain in the opposite, left lumbar area after repeated throwing drills. A repeat MRI shows a left sided spondylolysis. No listhesis is appreciated. Evidence of healing is noted on the right pars stress fracture to a small degree (see Figure 11).

A PRP matrix graft—with a total 8cc PRP at a six-fold concentration and mixed with 2 cc 50:50 lidocaine 1% with marcaine 0.5%—is then placed an additional X3 on the right and X3 on the left, with approximately 5cc placed at the lev-
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In Vivo Studies: Skin Healing, Range of Motion, and Pain With the Use of PRP

A prospective, single-blind pilot study comprising 80 full-thickness skin punch wounds (4mm diameter) was conducted on the thighs of eight healthy volunteers. With each subject serving as his or her own control (five punch sites per leg), PRP was applied topically on one thigh, while an antibiotic ointment and/or a semi-occlusive dressing was applied on the other thigh. On day 17, the percentage of closure was 81.1% for the PRP-treated sites and 57.2% for the control sites. Also, the PRP wound closure velocities were significantly faster than those of the controls (P=.001). When the platelet count in the gel was more than six times the baseline (P<0.001) compared to the non-treated patients. Consequently, the use of pain medication was significantly less (P<0.001) in PLG-treated patients. Furthermore, treated patients demonstrated a significantly improved range of motion earlier after surgery with a high shoulder functional index.

Conclusion

PRP matrix grafts along with other bioengineering grafting techniques are becoming more prevalent in the treatment paradigms of musculoskeletal medicine. These PRP matrix grafts provide effective, safe, relatively low-cost treatment options to patients who have the time and wherewithal to allow collagen synthesis and maturation at the graft site. PRP matrix grafts appear to restore tissue homeostasis and biotensegrity of collagen. Other pain inhibiting effects are also present in PRP matrix grafts which allow earlier resumption of pain free activity. It is the authors’ experiences that these grafts, along with other regenerative grafting options, are at times the only viable treatment option for a select group of patients with degenerative myofascial tissue injuries. The authors recommend appropriate first line therapies such as relative rest, appropriate bracing and kinesiotaping, evaluation of kinetic chain mechanics, and physical therapy—with or without eccentric loading protocols—prior to the utilization of these PRP matrix grafting protocols.

Reduction in pain after PRP applications has been observed by several authors. However, an explanation of this phenomenon has not always been given. The authors believe that serotonin released from activated platelets might be responsible for decreased pain, as described by Everts and Fanning. Except for the growth factors in the Alpha-granules, large amounts of serotonin are contained within the dense platelet granules. Since platelet counts of the PRP are generally almost six-fold higher when compared to whole blood levels, it stands to reason that serotonin levels are therefore also significantly increased at the wound site. This phenomena has been explained in detail by Sprott et al. who reported on pain reduction following acupuncture and measured a decrease in serotonin concentration in platelets from these patients and an increase in serotonin levels in plasma—suggesting normalization of plasma serotonin levels due to the mobilization of platelet serotonin.

Other grafting tools such as the use of autologous bone marrow aspirate stem cells (BMAC) with PRP matrices have not been explored in this article but may be found in further detail by the authors. These stem cell/growth factor grafts are being utilized for severe degenerative states with associated tissue hypoxemia. Hence, PRP and other regenerative biocell lar therapeutic matrices deserve further study to determine their effects in animal and human models.

David M. Crane, MD practices Sports Medicine in Chesterfield and Saint Louis, Missouri. He is Board Certified in Emergency Medicine and Sports Medicine, Dr. Crane is the founder and Director of the Crane Clinic Sports Medicine. Dr. Crane utilizes the most up to date therapies to assist the healing process in his patients, including Regenerative Injection Therapy (RIT) which uses the patient’s own growth factors, or bioactive proteins, to encourage the in-growth of normal collagen and remodeling of injured tissue. He may be contacted at: Crane Clinic Sports Medicine, 219 Chesterfield Towne Centre, Chesterfield, MO 63005, or by email through his website at www.craneclinic.com.

Peter A.M. Everts, PhD heads the Department of Peri-Operative Blood Management at Catharina Hospital at Eindhoven, The Netherlands. He is currently the chairman of Foundation FERET which is the research leader in the PRP medical field. Dr. Everts has done pioneering work involving autologous platelet rich plasma in both animal and human trials and wrote the 2007 thesis titled: Autologous Platelet-Leukocyte-Enriched Gel: Basics and Efficacy, which describes a novel method to support soft tissue and bone healing (ISBN 10:90-8590-016-6, ISBN 13:978-90-8590-016-0). Dr. Everts may be contacted via email at everts@elive.nl.
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